PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INT	m the ERMATIONAL SE	ARCHING AUTI	HORITY		0.1.0110.0005		
To	o:			7 RE	C'D PC 2005		
				W	IPO PCT		
	see forn	n PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE			
				INTERNATION	NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
				(F	PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
				Date of mailing			
	mlia-mati			(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)			
	plicant's or agent's file e form PCT/ISA/			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
	ernational application CT/JP2005/00981		International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
L			23.05.2005		22.05.2004		
Go	ernational Patent Cla 01R31/319	รรเบิดสเอก (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC			
App	plicant						
AD	VANTEST COR	PORATION					
1.	This opinion of	pinion contains indications relating to the following items:					
	⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the op	inion				
	☐ Box No. II	Priority					
	☐ Box No. III	Non-establishr	nent of opinion with rega	ird to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability		
	□ Box No. IV	Lack of unity o	finvention				
	☐ Box No. V	Reasoned state applicability; ci	ement under Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> tations and explanations	.1(a)(i) with regard to n	novelty, inventive step or industrial		
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum	ents cited	pp and didis	THE PLANT OF THE P		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects	in the international appl	lication			
	Box No. VIII	Certain observa	ations on the internation	al application			
2.	FURTHER ACTION						
	the applicant cho	oses an Authori eau under Rule (v other than this one to	Authority ("IPEA"). Ho	isually be considered to be a wever, this does not apply where hosen IPEA has notifed the onal Searching Authority		
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.						
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.							
Vame	and mailing address	s of the ISA:		Authorized Officer	white Volumber		
	European P	atent Office			Statute May E		
	D-80298 Mt Tel. +49 89	2399 - 0 Tx: 52369	56 epmu d	Nadal, R	an Fig.		
	Fax: +49 89	2399 - 4465		Telephone No. +49 89 23	399-7920		

Form (PCT/ISA/237) (Cover Sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2005/009815

_							
_	Вс	X N	lo. I Basis of the opinion				
1	. Wi the	With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
		•••	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	Wit	th recess	n regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and essary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. type of material:						
			a sequence listing				
			table(s) related to the sequence listing				
b. format of material:							
	l		in written format				
	I		in computer readable form				
	c. time of filing/furnishing:						
	[contained in the international application as filed.				
	[filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
	[J	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.					
1	Additional comments:						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2005/009815

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

Claims

No:

1,14

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

2-13,15-26

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-26

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. The following documents are referred to in this communication; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

D1: WO-A-2004072670

D2: US-A-6,028,439

D3: US-A-2003/0005375

D4: WO-A-2004072669

D5: S. M. Pérez, Y. Furukawa, "Open architecture test system: the new frontier", Proceedings of the 28th International Electronics Manufacturing Technology Symposium, 16-18 July 2003, San Jose, CA, USA, pages 211-214.

2. Regarding to novelty and inventive step, the subject-matter of claims 1 and 14 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT, and the subject-matter of claims 2-13, 15-26 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

2.1. Independent claim 9

Document D1 (the references within parentheses refer to document D1) discloses a modular test system (Fig. 2), comprising:

a controller for controlling at least one test module and its corresponding device under test (Site Controller 104);

a module control framework for establishing a standard module control Interface between a vendor-supplied test module and the modular test system (use of the Module(s) 108; page 2, line 28, page 3, line 14);

means for Installing the vendor-supplied test module and a corresponding vendor-supplied control software module, wherein the vendor-supplied control software module is organized into a plurality of vendor-supplied module control components (page 12, lines 10-21);

means for configuring the modular test system based on the module control framework

and the plurality of vendor-supplied module control components (from page 12, line 29, to page 13, line 25); and

means for accessing the vendor-supplied test module in accordance with the plurality of vendor-supplied module control components using the module control framework (page 13, lines 14-17).

Then, the subject matter of claim 14 is not new and does not meet the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.

2.2. For the sake of completeness the attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that document D2, in the same technical field, also discloses a modular multi-site test structure (Fig. 1 and Fig. 3 in D2) capable to be expanded with additional test sites, that is, implementing an open architecture, using test programs capable to carry out the synchronization and the access to the different test sites (paragraph [0020] in D2). Moreover, the attention of the applicant is also drawn to the fact that Document D3, in the same technical field, also discloses a modular integrated circuit tester in an open architecture format (D3: Fig. 1; from column 2, line 66, to column 4, line 8), on the other hand, the use of an object oriented language allows the implementation of classes and hierarchies (paragraph [0079]). Furthermore, document D4, in the same technical field also discloses the same open architecture for testing purposes disclosed by the present invention (D4: Fig. 2; from page 15, line 7, to page 17, line 6) which it is, in fact, anticipated by D5 (see Fig. 1a and 1b in D5).

Therefore, not only in view of D1, but also taking into account D2-D5, the subject-matter of claim 14 is not new and does not fulfill the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.

2.3. Independent claim 1

Since the subject matter of the corresponding method claim 1 is the same as that one of claim 9, claim 1 is not allowable for lack of novelty (Article 33(2) PCT).

2.4. Dependent claims 2-13 and 15-26

Dependent claims 2-13 and 15-26 do not contain any features which, in combination with

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/JP2005/009815

the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step because they consist in the application of well-known design procedures and design alternatives to the person skilled in the art and, therefore, the inventive step has to be ruled out (see Guidelines Part III, Chapter 13, section 13.14(e)).

- 3. To be also according to the requirements of the PCT, the applicant should also take into account the following remarks:
 - a. Independent claims 1 and 14 are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(I) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).
 - b. When the international application contains drawings, the technical features of the claims should be provided with reference signs placed in parentheses to increase the intelligibility of the claims (Rule 6.2(b) PCT), which is not the case of the present application.
 - To meet the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, documents D1-D5 should be acknowledged in the description.